United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,089	02/23/2005	Cecilia Kepka	PU0265	6639
22840 7590 06/29/2007 GE HEALTHCARE BIO-SCIENCES CORP. PATENT DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			MAKAR, KIMBERLY A	
800 CENTENI PISCATAWA	NIAL AVENUE V NI 08855		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1636	
		:	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		•				
Office Action Summary	10/526,089	KEPKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Kimberly A. Makar, Ph.D.	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2005.					
	, _					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-18 and 20-22</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	• •					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-12, 14-18, 20-22</u> are subject to restr	riction and/or election requiremen	nt.				
Analization Decem		·				
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 H S C & 440						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		-				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F					

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10, and 20-22, drawn to methods of purifying plasmid DNA comprising an aqueous two-phase system comprising the use of a first polymer exhibiting inverse solubility characteristics and a second polymer that is immiscible in the first polymer, contacting the polymers with plasmid DNA, isolating the aqueous phase, increasing the temperature of the isolated aqueous phase to a temperature above the cloud point of the first polymer and below a temperature where the plasmid DNA is degraded, and subsequently isolating the aqueous phase so formed.

Group II, claim(s) 11-12 and 14-18, drawn to a composition and kit for extraction of plasmid DNA in an aqueous two-phase system, which composition comprises a first polymer that exhibits inverse solubility characteristics at temperature below about 60°C, and a second polymer that is immiscible in the first polymer.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature lacks novelty. Ageland et al (US Patent 6,107,467) teaches the use of a composition comprising a copolymer of including ethylene oxide and propylene oxide in a two-phase separation technique for the isolation of protein (see abstract and examples 1-4). Ageland teaches that the composition comprising the polymers that exhibit inverse solubility characteristics include ethylene oxide and propylene oxide (column 11, lines 64 column 12, line 27). Ageland teaches that the composition comprising the first polymer exhibits inverse solubility characteristic at temperatures below about 60°C (column 12, lines 4-27). Ageland teaches in example 4 that the technique is used to purify nucleic acids from the protein composition.
- 3. The technical feature of group I is directed towards a process of purifying plasmid DNA comprising an aqueous two-phase system comprising the use of a first polymer exhibiting inverse solubility characteristics and a second polymer that is immiscible in the first polymer, contacting the polymers with plasmid DNA, isolating the aqueous

Art Unit: 1636

phase, increasing the temperature of the isolated aqueous phase to a temperature above the cloud point of the first polymer and below a temperature where the plasmid DNA is degraded, and subsequently isolating the aqueous phase so formed which is distinct from the technical feature of group II directed towards a composition and kit for extraction of plasmid DNA in an aqueous two-phase system, which composition comprises a first polymer that exhibits inverse solubility characteristics at temperature below about 60°C, and a second polymer that is immiscible in the first polymer. The methodology of group I does not require the composition of group II, and can be performed using alternative compositions (such as those with inverse solubility characteristics above 60°C). The technical feature of group I is in a different PCT category than group II, as group I is a methodology, and group II is a composition and does not meet the requirement so of PCT Rule 13.2 (see MPEP §1850), and could be used in alternate methodologies, such as the purification of peptides. Therefore groups I and II are biologically, functionally, and compositionally distinct and capable of supporting individual patents.

Thus groups I-II are biologically, functionally, and compositionally distinct and are capable of supporting individual patents.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 1636

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.
- 8. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly A. Makar, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4139. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kam/06/11/07

/Daniel M. Sullivan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1636